Minutes Nottingham Planning Board Meeting July 24, 2013

1 Members Present: Arthur Stockus, Chair; Troy Osgood, Vice Chair; Susan Mooney, Secretary;

2 Hal Rafter, BOS Representative; John Morin; Dirk Grotenhuis; Eduard Viel;

3 Robert "Buzz" Davies, Alternate;

4 Members Absent: Gary Anderson, Alternate

5 **Others Present:** Paul Colby, Building Inspector/Code Administrator; Sue Serino, Scott R.

- 6 Carlson, NHEC Forester-applicant; Christian Smith, PE Engineer; Joseph Falzone, applicant, Celia
- 7 Abrams, Conservation Commission and John Terninko, abutter
- 8 Chairman Stockus called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and introductions were completed.
- 9 Mr. Colby introduced Sue Serino, the Assessing Coordinator, to the Planning Board members and
- 10 stated she will be helping with the Planning and Zoning work while regular clerk Jo-Ann MacInnis is
- 11 out on leave.

12 Scenic Road Public Hearing-NH CO-OP for tree trimming and tree removal on Kennard Road

- 13 Chairman Stockus opened the Public Hearing stating notice is hereby given that the Nottingham
- 14 Planning Board will hold a Public Hearing in accordance with RSA 231:8 II, on Wednesday, July 24,
- 15 2013, in Conference Room 1 at the Municipal Town Office, at 7:00 to discuss tree trimming and
- tree removal on the following scenic road: Kennard Road.
- 17 Mr. Scott Carlson, Utility Forester Coordinator for NH Electric CO-OP, stated the co-operative has
- 18 system improvements in place for an upgrade of a single phase to three phase wires, allowing
- 19 power switching between substations. He marked 10 trees with red tape prior to this notice, half
- 20 for trees in the way of new wires and the other half that will die if not removed.
- 21 Mr. Grotenhuis asked if the trees tagged for cutting are within the right-of way. Mr. Carlson stated
- 22 most are and if they are located on private property he has contacted the owners; he is new to the
- 23 district and has not received any negative feedback from Nottingham residents.
- 24 Ms. Mooney inquired if the trees being removed are pine and if the wood would be removed
- when the work completed. Mr. Carlson stated that the trees marked are not pine; the only pine
- 26 marked is directly in the way of where the conductors will be placed, and they will chip and
- 27 remove wood that is less than 3-4" in diameter and leave the rest for the owners/abutter, unless
- the owners request that all wood be removed.
- 29 Chairman Stockus asked if there were any questions from the Board and/or public with no further
- 30 questions the public hearing was closed.
- 31 Chair Stockus read the first case:
- 32 Case #P13-02-SUB The Crossings: a 154 acre parcel which fronts on both Friar Tuck Lane and
- 33 Oakridge Road, Map: 10 Lot: 10 Map: 8 lot 8-21 Map: 8 & 10 Lots: 9 & 10. It is a Subdivision
- 34 Application for proposed open space 42 lot subdivision. The property owned by Harbor Street
- Limited Partnership, Brian M. & Jennifer Spagna, Seth F. & Pearl I. Peters and is a continuance
- 36 hearing.
- 37 Chairman Stockus stated that Mr. Davies will participate in the discussion but will not be voting
- with the Board regarding the application.
- 39 Mr. Smith, of Beal's and Associates, stated he would be representing the attending applicant Mr.
- 40 Falzone. Mr. Smith stated the issue is how to get the result of a two cul-de-sac plan with a
- 41 conditionally approved density as required by the federal agency for putting a larger portion of the
- 42 property into conservation. A response was received from town counsel indicating only one plan
- should be reviewed and two applications can't be run concurrently. Mr. Smith asked if the

Minutes Nottingham Planning Board Meeting July 24, 2013

- applicant should submit one application with 42 lots with a phase one and the second two cul-de-
- sac plan would become phase 2.
- 46 Mr. Colby stated he believed town counsel has already indicated two applications can't be run
- 47 concurrently, but would further clarify the issue at the direction of the board.
- 48 Chairman Stockus stated it is difficult for a board to make a decision regarding plans when there
- 49 are two separate different proposals presented; it might be different if the lot lines were identical
- 50 with the secondary reduced number of lots, but it is completely different. The board could
- 51 consider a removal of lots during the process if considered.
- 52 Mr. Smith suggested adding a phase 3 to the process. Mr. Colby stated the application under
- 53 consideration can utilize three phases. However, there is concern from safety personnel about not
- 54 having a through road. The center section of proposed lots can be removed to continue working
- with the Conservation Commission but a specific road way will be required.
- 56 Ms. Mooney asked when NRCS conservation grant approval would be received. Mr. Falzone stated
- 57 the agency ranks all the projects based on specific criteria and if this application was approved it
- could be within 90 days, then he should have the funds within 90 and 120 days.
- 59 Chairman Stockus asked to review the application comment memo dated July 22, 2013 from the
- 60 Code Administrator.
- 61 Mr. Colby reviewed the fourteen missing and needed items for application completion. It was
- 62 stated that CMA Engineering will review the road and drainage construction plans.
- 63 Mr. Falzone stated he has left seven voice messages for the Fire Chief and stopped at the Fire
- Department but was unable to speak to the Chief and at this point the Chief has not returned his
- 65 calls regarding some of the issues.
- 66 Motion: by Eduard Viel to authorize \$3000.00, three thousand dollars, to be placed in an escrow
- 67 account for case #P13-02-Sub for CMA Engineering to review the roads/drainage
- 68 **Second by**: Ms. Mooney
- 69 **Vote: 7 0 in favor.**
- 70 Mr. Smith requested direction from the board and town council on what steps the applicant
- should take to submit: can an applicant run two concurrent applications with the two different
- 72 plans and is a multi phase plan an option with the two applications: phase one and two would be
- 53 based on the original application and submit a new application for phase three that would
- eliminate the cul-de-sac roads and tying all roads together. If the process for two applications and
- 75 multiple phases is allowed, if approved for federal funding phase three can be withdrawn.
- 76 Chair Stockus stated a plan cannot be withdrawn after board approval for submission of an
- 77 alternate second plan; four years are required to pass before changing lot lines in an approved
- subdivision plan for the same lots. There is no such thing as conditional approval, as an alternate
- 79 method to submit new plans; approval is approval.
- 80 There was agreement from the board with a single application with multiple phases, as long as the
- 81 lot lines remain the same throughout the entire process.
- Discussion took place about the cul-de-sac road access and radius receiving approval from both
- 83 the Police and Fire Departments. Mr. Colby stated both the Fire and Police Departments have
- concern about the cul-de-sac from a safety access standpoint.

Minutes Nottingham Planning Board Meeting July 24, 2013

- 85 After discussion the Planning Board requested the representatives from the Police and Fire
- 86 Department attend the next board meeting to discuss the road/access for subdivision Case#P13-
- 87 **02-Sub**.
- 88 Mr. Smith requested the opinion of town counsel of having either the one and/or two applications
- with a multi phase option before moving forward, spending money and changing the plans.
- However, all members agreed there was no need to contact counsel. Discussion would take place
- 91 with safety personnel regarding road access and if the decision of the board was in the negative
- 92 for cul-de-sac access the applicant would submit alternate plans for a through road.
- 93 Case#P13-02-Sub would be continued to August 14, 2013.
- 94 Motion was made by Mr. Grotenhuis to continue Case #P13-02-SUB to August 14, 2013 at 7:00
- 95 pm.
- 96 **Second**: Mr. Osgood
- 97 **VOTE:** 7 0 in favor.

98 **OLD BUSINESS/NEW BUSINESS**

- 99 Rocky Hill Subdivision Scenic Road Hearing (Ledge Farm Paving): Mr. Colby stated in response to
- 100 Ms. Mooney's question regarding the need for a public road hearing. After review of prior case
- material there should be a public hearing and is recommending it to be held on August 28, 2013 to
- meeting the notice requirements.
- 103 Motion was made by Ms. Mooney to hold a public hearing for the Ledge Farm paving project on
- 104 August 28, 2013 at 7:00 pm
- 105 Second: Mr. Viel
- 106 **VOTE:** 7 − 0
- 107 Jack Mettee/Ordinances Update Meeting: Mr. Colby stated due to circumstances in the Planning
- Board Office he was unsure if Mr. Mettee had been contacted to attend the meeting and asked
- when the board would like to reschedule. The board decided to reschedule a workshop with Mr.
- 110 Mettee on August 21, 2013, if he was available.
- 111 Ms. Mooney expressed concern about the State of NH scheduling cutting in Pawtuckaway State
- Park and the use of the roads with the logging trucks. Discussion took place about the topic of
- discussion with Mr. Mettee and whether or not a meeting was really needed. It was stated the
- Road Agent is maintaining the roads, any specific road ordinances must come from the Board of
- Selectmen and not the Planning Board to potentially limit the driving permission, the topic could
- be a joint topic between the Selectmen and the Planning Board, and the ability of posting roads for
- specific reasons and time limits. It was stated the logging taking place at Pawtuckaway was for a
- limited time only, was being made into a bigger issue than needed and did not need discussion.
- 119 August Meeting Schedule: Mr. Colby reviewed the schedule included for August 14: a backlot
- subdivision on Round Pond Road, a conceptual meeting with Fernald Lumber, a continuation of
- the Oakridge subdivision. August 21 would be a workshop with Mr. Mettee, if he were available,
- otherwise August 28. August 28 would include subdivision on Kennard Road, any continuations
- 123 from the August 14 meeting.
- 124 **Letter from FEMA**: Mr. Colby reported a letter was received to attend a meeting at UNH to review
- the new coastal mapping project of the Salmon Falls area. The meeting is on August 1st and only
- one person from the Town can attend. Mr. Colby stated he was unable to attend and after

Minutes

Nottingham Planning Board Meeting July 24, 2013

- discussion, Mr. Viel stated he would be able to attend if he had no conflicts, otherwise Ms.
- 128 Mooney would attend.
- Office Coverage Plan: Mr. Colby reported Ms. MacInnis will be out for extended leave and Ms.
- Serino and other office staff will be helping out in the Planning and Zoning Office. All incoming
- emails are being forwarded to Mr. Colby and Ms. Serino. Mr. Colby stated he appreciated the help
- from Ms. Serino.
- 133 Board of Selectmen Report: Mr. Rafter reported the Selectmen decided annual Recycle Center
- stickers would be required because of non-residents using the center, but an effective date hasn't
- been selected.
- 136 USA Springs had a consulting firm submitted a request to extend the time to file the large ground
- water withdrawal permit. There are questions about when is the actual deadline to file and the
- terms needed to complete the renewal process. NH DES has responded without a real decision
- and encouraged them to determine if the water withdrawal permit was actually needed since they
- don't have an active water bottling permit. The Selectmen responded opposing the request to file
- 141 extension.
- Mr. Colby stated there has been a substantial increase in the number of homes potentially be
- affected if the permit was renewed.
- 144 Merry Hill Road: Mr. Colby stated an engineer should be selected. Mr. Falzone has retained
- ownership of only two of the lots in the subdivision and sold the five lots to one builder and the
- other ten lots and the road rights to another. The builder with the road rights has contacted the
- town to start construction and a bond will be required.
- 148 Mr. Morin asked if the permit followed the property across the street from Merry Hill Road. Mr.
- 149 Colby stated the permit remained valid with the sale.
- 150 **Motion**: by Mr. Morin to select CMA Engineering as the engineer for the Merry Hill Road project.
- 151 **Second**: by Mr. Osgood
- 152 **Vote**: 7 0 in favor.
- 153 **Invoice Approval**: Mr. Colby presented an invoice from Mr. Farwell for Rocky Hill June 26-July 23.
- He was requested to submit invoices on a monthly basis, and agreement was received.
- 155 **Motion**: by Mr. Viel to approve payment in the amount of (Two thousand nine hundred seventy
- 156 five dollars and 60 cents) \$2975.60 to Farwell Engineering.
- 157 Second: by Mr. Morin
- 158 Vote: 7 0 in favor.
- 159
- 160 **Adjournment**
- Motion was made by Mr. Osgood to adjourn the meeting at 8:15 p.m.
- 162 **Second:** Mr. Morin
- **163 VOTE:** 7 − 0
- 164 Respectfully Submitted,
- 165 Sue Serino
- 166 Land Use Clerk